21 MC 100 (AKH)
DOCKET NO.
CHECK-OFF ("SHORT FORM") COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLAINT
PLAINTIFF(S) DEMAND A TRIAL BY
JURY
n, United States District Judge, dated June 22, Plaintiffs were filed on August 18, 2006.
ADOPTION
plaint are applicable to and are adopted by the n to those paragraphs specific to the individual than '\(\mathbb{Z}\)'' if applicable to the instant Plaintiff(s) v.
SA J CONNELLY, by his/her/their attorneys P, complaining of Defendant(s), respectfully
ES
$\overline{\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{S})}$
reinafter the "Injured Plaintiff"), is an individual e, Huntington Station, NY 11746 of Decedent city as of the Estate of

Cas	se 1:07-cv-10129-AKH Document	:1 Filed 11/02/2007 Page 2 of 11
3. York residing to the Injured	at 46 Thorney Avenue, Huntington Sta Plaintiff: ✓ SPOUSE at all relevant times h WILLIAM CONNELLY, and the injuries sustained by her hu	nafter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a citizen of New tion, NY 11746-, and has the following relationship nerein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff brings this derivative action for her (his) loss due to asband (his wife), Plaintiff WILLIAM CONNELLY Other:
4. Edison of Nev	In the period from 9/12/2001 to 9/24/2 y York, Inc. as a Chief Line Construction	001 the Injured Plaintiff worked for Consolidated
		lling in the following dates and locations
The World	Trade Center Site	======================================
	.e., building, quadrant, etc.)	From on or about;
Approximatel	oout <u>9/12/2001</u> until <u>9/24/2001</u> ; y <u>16</u> hours per day; for	Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.
Approximately 13 days total. The New York City Medical Examiner's Office From on or about until, Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.		Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:
☐ The Fresh From on or ab Approximatel	Kills Landfill out; y hours per day; for y days total.	From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:
	nis information on a separate sheet of pa "Other" locations, please annex a separa	per if necessary. If more space is needed to specify ate sheet of paper with the information.
5.	Injured Plaintiff	
	Was exposed to and breathed n above;	oxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated
	Was exposed to and inhaled or dates at the site(s) indicated above;	ingested toxic substances and particulates on all
	Was exposed to and absorbed of the site(s) indicated above;	or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.	

6.

 ✓ Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. If §405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable. ✓ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was d 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable. ✓ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was so by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the inapplicable. ✓ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was g 	
 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable. □ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was so by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the inapplicable. 	,
by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, th inapplicable.	
Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was or	of the Air Transportation
405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his a further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.	stem Stabilization Act, 49 his right(s) to pursue any

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☑ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
✓ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on 6/20/07 and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	INC.
✓ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	✓ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
✓ More than thirty days have passed and	HAULING, LLC, INC.
the City has not adjusted the claim	✓ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
(OR)	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	CORPORATION
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a	INC. ☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
☐ is pending	□ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
☐ Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC. ☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
Denying petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LIND, INC. ☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
=======================================	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☑ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BREE-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
✓ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on 6/20/07	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
✓ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	\square CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
adjusted this claim	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
✓ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
=======================================	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
□ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	✓ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
□ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	CORP
☐ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY ☑ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
☐ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC. ☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC. ☑ EN-TECH CORP
☐ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	□ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	□EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL
	I .

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☑ PINNACLE ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

✓ PRO SAFETY SERVICES, LLC

☑ PT & L CONTRACTING CORP

☐ REGIONAL SCAFFOLD & HOISTING CO,

☑ ROBER SILMAN ASSOCIATES

☑ ROBERT L GEROSA, INC

✓ RODAR ENTERPRISES, INC.

☑ ROYAL GM INC.

☑ SAB TRUCKING INC.

✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC ☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC. ☐ OTHER:

✓ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.

☑ WSP CANTOR SEINUK GROUP

☑ YANNUZZI & SONS INC

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	Ç
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Case 1:07-cv-10129-AKH Document 1 Filed 11/02/2007 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

Stabil	ounded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; specization Act of 2001, (or); ☐ Federal Officers ☐; ☐ Contested, boxal jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28	Jurisdi ut the	iction, (or); Other (specify): Court has already determined that it has
of lial		name	d defendants based upon the following theories a such a claim under the applicable substantive
	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	✓	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ✓ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ☑ Other(specify): Not yet determined
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff
			Other:

Case 1:07-cv-10129-AKH Document 1 Filed 11/02/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:		Cardiovascular Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
✓	Respiratory Injury: Cough; Sarcoidosis; Shortness of Breath; Sinus Problems; Wheezing Date of onset: 4/27/2007 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date		Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 4/27/2007 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
	Digestive Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:	V	Other Injury: Chronic Headaches; Skin Rash Date of onset: 4/27/2007 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date

NOTE: The foregoing is NOT an exhaustive list of injuries that may be alleged.

2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the

Ground Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compensable damages:	
<u></u>	Pain and suffering
V	Loss of the enjoyment of life
V	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity
V	Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits
√	Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation
✓	Other: ☑ Mental anguish ☑ Disability ☑ Medical monitoring ☑ Other: Not yet determined

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York October 30, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), William Connelly and Teresa J
Connelly

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of

perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the

plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other

than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief

are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the

file.

DATED: New York, New York

October 30, 2007

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docket 1	No: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
	WILLIAM CONNELLY (AND WIFE, TERESA J CONNELLY),
	Plaintiff(s) - against -
	A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,
	Defendant(s).
====	SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700
====	To Attorney(s) for
	Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted. Dated,
	Attorney(s) for
_	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: NOTICE OF ENTRY that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20
]	That an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at on 20 at M. Dated, Yours, etc., WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP